

A Study on Transfer Learning for Acoustic Event Detection in a Real Life Scenario

Introduction

- Acoustic Event : Distinct segment of audio that a listener can consistently label
- Acoustic Event Detection (AED): Locate in time (detection) and identify (classification)
- Related but different to **Speech Recognition**
- Huge variety of sounds and applications
- Polyphony
- Lack of labeled training data

Proposed Approach

• Transfer Learning (TL): Transfer knowledge from Source domain to Target domain

• Hypothesis:

- Audio Events are made up of acoustic units (AUs) as universal building blocks
- The order in which they appear distinguishes one event from another
- Similar to Phonemes in Speech Recognition

Washing Dishes \rightarrow /RunningWater / - /Utensils / - /Scrubbing /

- **Approach**: Learn the AUs from source events and utilize them to learn the target events which may share some or all of the learned AUs
- Databases:
- Source: TUT-SED Synthetic 2016 [1], 566 minutes, clean, 16 events \in alarms and sirens, baby crying, bird singing, bus, cat meowing, crowd applause, etc.
- Target: TUT-SED Real 2016 [2], 78 minutes, noisy, 17 events \in bird singing, car passing by, cutlery, washing dishes, alarms, mixer, rain, etc.



Prerna Arora and Reinhold Haeb-Umbach, Paderborn University, Germany {arora, haeb}@nt.uni-paderborn.de, http://nt.uni-paderborn.de



[1] E. Çakır, G. Parascandolo, T. Heittola, H. Huttunen, and T. Virtanen, "Convolutional recurrent neural networks for polyphonic sound event detection", in arXiv, 2017 [2] A. Mesaros, T. Heittola, and T. Virtanen, "TUT database for acoustic scene classification and sound event detection", in EUSIPCO, 2016



Feature

Results



Annotation

- Conclusions & Outlook

- Probable cause: source DB too small



Computer Science, Electrical Engineering and Mathematics Communications Engineering Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhold Häb-Umbach



• Error rate and F-measure for target real-life database								
	Model	Sub	Del	Ins	AEER	F-m (%)		
	State of the Art [1]	-	-	-	0.95	30.3		
	Baseline (No TL)	0.2	0.43	0.38	1.01	37.8		
	Frozen All	0.13	0.59	0.22	0.94	34.3		
	Frozen One	0.25	0.32	0.56	1.13	38.2		
	Finetune All	0.22	0.4	0.4	1.02	37.9		

Comparison between specific target events

Event	Model	AEER	F-m (%)
Bird Singing	Baseline (No TL)	1.24	50.7
(large overlap)	Frozen All	1.02	54.4
Washing Dishes	Baseline (No TL)	1.51	25.5
(marginal overlap)	Frozen One	1.54	40.4
Car Passing by	Baseline (No TL)	0.98	58.0
(no overlap)	Finetune All	0.991	56.7

 Initial hypothesis could only partially be verified • Outlook: use Google Audioset as source DB

Work supported by DFG under contract no. <Ha 3455/15-1> Research Unit FOR2457 "Acoustic Sensor Networks"