

Communications Engineering



Tight integration of spatial and spectral features for BSS with Deep Clustering embeddings

Lukas Drude, Reinhold Haeb-Umbach

Department of Communications Engineering - Paderborn University Prof. Dr.-Ing. Reinhold Haeb-Umbach 2017-08-20





① Problem Statement

- 2 Known Solutions
 - Spectral: Deep Clustering
 - Spatial: Time-Variant cGMM
- ③ Integrated Model (proposed)
- ④ Evaluation
- (5) Conclusion





Goal:

• Transcribe speech from an observed mixture

Application:

- Meeting transcription
- Home assistants/ automation
- (Surveillance)



Focus:

• Robust source separation front-end exploiting spectral and spatial features







Spectral/ deep learning:

- + Leverage training data
- + Model speech characteristics
- Overfit, possibly poor generalization



Spatial clustering (multi-channel):

- + Training data agnostic
- No concept of human speech
- + Exploit spatial selectivity







Spectral/ deep learning:

- + Leverage training data
- + Model speech characteristics
- Overfit, possibly poor generalization

Spatial clustering (multi-channel):

- + Training data agnostic
- No concept of human speech
- + Exploit spatial selectivity

Joint formulation desired!





Spectral: Deep Clustering [1]

Exploit speaker specific **spectral** characteristics for separation.

Concept:

- BLSTM yields an embedding vector **e**_{tf} for each tf-bin.
- Encourage tendency to form clusters in embedding space.
- Cluster using k-means on e_{tf}.

[1] Hershey et al., Deep clustering: Discriminative embeddings [...], ICASSP 2016





Spectral: Deep Clustering [1]

Exploit speaker specific **spectral** characteristics for separation.

Concept:

- BLSTM yields an embedding vector **e**_{tf} for each tf-bin.
- Encourage tendency to form clusters in embedding space.
- Cluster using k-means on e_{tf}.

Relevance:

- Speaker independent
- Number of speakers not fixed at training time
- [1] Hershey et al., Deep clustering: Discriminative embeddings [...], ICASSP 2016





Spectral: Deep Clustering [1]

Exploit speaker specific **spectral** characteristics for separation.

Concept:

- BLSTM yields an embedding vector **e**_{tf} for each tf-bin.
- Encourage tendency to form clusters in embedding space.
- Cluster using k-means on e_{tf}. von Mises Fisher MM

Relevance:

- Speaker independent
- Number of speakers not fixed at training time
- [1] Hershey et al., Deep clustering: Discriminative embeddings [...], ICASSP 2016







Spatial: Time-Variant cGMM [2]

Exploit **spatial** diversity to separate speakers.

Concept:

- Complex random vectors y_{tf}
- Acoustic transfer function captured by spatial correlation matrix.

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{tf}) = \sum_{k} \pi_{fk} \cdot \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{y}_{tf}; \mathbf{0}, \sigma_{tfk} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{fk})$$

[2] Ito et al., Relaxed disjointness based clustering [...], IWAENC 2014







Spatial: Time-Variant cGMM [2]

Exploit **spatial** diversity to separate speakers.

Concept:

- Complex random vectors y_{tf}
- Acoustic transfer function captured by spatial correlation matrix.

$$p(\mathbf{y}_{tf}) = \sum_{k} \pi_{fk} \cdot \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{y}_{tf}; \mathbf{0}, \sigma_{tfk} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{fk})$$

Relevance:

• Competitive: Winning system of CHiME 3 and CHiME 4 challenges

[2] Ito et al., Relaxed disjointness based clustering [...], IWAENC 2014









Spectral observation model







Spatial observation model







• Statistical model:

$$p(\mathbf{e}_{tf}, \mathbf{y}_{tf}) = \sum_{k} \pi_{fk} \cdot \mathsf{vMF}(\mathbf{e}_{tf}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \kappa_{k}) \cdot \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{y}_{tf}; \mathbf{0}, \sigma_{tfk} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{fk})$$

- Observations independent, given class label
- Estimate of all parameters better, when estimated jointly
- Risk/ opportunity: Weighting between both models







• Statistical model:

$$p(\mathbf{e}_{tf}, \mathbf{y}_{tf}) = \sum_{k} \pi_{fk} \cdot \mathsf{vMF}(\mathbf{e}_{tf}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}, \kappa_{k}) \cdot \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{y}_{tf}; \mathbf{0}, \sigma_{tfk} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{fk})$$

- Observations independent, given class label
- Estimate of all parameters better, when estimated jointly
- Risk/ opportunity: Weighting between both models





Update equations: E-step



• Update class affiliation posterior:

$$\gamma_{tfk} = \gamma'_{tfk} / \sum_k \gamma'_{tfk}$$





- Train/CV deep clustering on single channel WSJ utterances [1, 3]
- GMM-HMM recognizer trained on clean, 3-Gram LM [3]
- 3000 test mixtures, 2 speakers per mixture:
 - Random source and array positions (6 sensors)
 - Image method to generate room impulse responses

[3] Isik et al., Single-Channel Multi-Speaker Separation using Deep Clustering, Interspeech 2016





Example: Posterior Masks (200 ms $< T_{60} < 300$ ms)







Evaluation: Signal to Distortion Ratio Gain







Evaluation: Signal to Distortion Ratio Gain







Word Error Rates ($\overline{T}_{60} = 50 \dots 100 \text{ ms}$)

Features	Model	Extraction	WER / %
spectral	DC [3]	Masking	65.8
spectral	DC	Beamforming	42.4
integrated	vMF-TV-cGMM	Beamforming	29.7
spatial	TV-cGMM [2]	Beamforming	33.6

- [2] Ito et al., Relaxed disjointness based clustering [...], IWAENC 2014
- [3] Isik et al., Single-Channel Multi-Speaker Separation using Deep Clustering, Interspeech 2016





(Switch to external audio player.)

- Observed mixture: y.wav
- Estimates: z_1.wav, z_2.wav
- Clean speech: x_1.wav, x_2.wav





• EM algorithm for joint model

Spectral/ deep learning:

- + Leverages training data
- + Models speech characteristics

Spatial clustering:

- + Generalizes to unseen conditions
- + Exploits spatial selectivity
- Outperforms both very different baselines
- Bridges model-based research and deep learning research

Future Work:

- Joint training
- Real recordings